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Mr. Dale Shumaker, P.E.
Enertech Resources, LLC
1820 Watson Lane East
New Braunfels, Texas 78130

SUBJECT:
NEPA Land Use Compliance Report and Checklist
Georgetown Public Safety Tower
D.B. Wood Road
Georgetown, Texas 78628
TCNS No. 98888

Drash Project No.: 113E1014

Dear Mr. Shumaker:

Drash Consultants, LLC (Drash) is pleased to submit this National Environmental Policy Act Review (NEPA) Land Use Compliance Report and Checklist ("NEPA") Report for the above referenced project.

This work is based on a limited review of specified and reasonably obtainable data associated with NEPA issues. Information accumulated for this assessment is to be retained with your project file, and is to be considered confidential and is not to be released without correct, complete authorization. The findings of this NEPA Report are based on the project location, project type, and construction diagrams as provided by Mr. Dale Shumaker with Enertech Resources, LLC. Should the project location, project type, and/or construction diagrams be altered, reevaluation of this project will be required.

Thank you for selecting Drash to provide the environmental services for this phase of the project. We appreciate the opportunity to work with you, and we look forward to working with you on future projects.

Respectfully Submitted,

Drash Consultants, LLC

Tomas Hernandez, Jr., P.G.
Environmental Services Group
Department Manager

TH/set – 113E1014

cc: Addressee: (1) Electronic File (2) Paper Copy
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1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Project Summary is provided for convenience only and should not substitute for review of the complete report, including all figures and appendices.

The Proposed Action is identified as, Georgetown Public Safety Tower, which is a proposed 120-foot monopole telecommunications tower facility. The Site is located in a rural area of Williamson County northwest of Georgetown, Texas along D.B. Wood Road. The Proposed Action is located at N30° 40’ 42.74” Latitude W97° 43’ 6.40” Longitude (NAD 83). Several figures depicting the location and site configuration are provided in Appendix A; photographs of the Proposed Action are provided in Appendix B. The Proposed Action is classified as a "New" Transmission and Receiving Site, which consists of the proposed new construction of a 120-foot monopole telecommunications tower and new fenced compound with associated new equipment and shelter. The total ground-disturbance area is less than 0.25 acres.

In accordance with Title 47 Telecommunication, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.1307 (a) (1-8), an evaluation was made to determine whether any of the listed Federal Communications Commission (FCC) special interest items might be significantly affected if a tower structure and/or antenna in addition to associated equipment and control cabinets were to be constructed at the Proposed Action location. Drash did not identify any FCC special interest items would require a site-specific Environmental Assessment (EA) to be prepared.
2.0 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) REVIEW

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Land Use Compliance Checklist and supporting documentation are attached to this report. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulates wireless and broadcast facilities. As such, the FCC has a regulatory framework to review the environmental impacts of these facilities. In accordance with Title 47 Telecommunication, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.1307 (a) (1-8), an evaluation has been made to determine whether any of the listed FCC special interest items might be significantly affected if a tower structure and/or antenna in addition to associated equipment and control cabinets were to be constructed at the Proposed Action location. In the event that the Proposed Action affects even one of the items, the FCC requires that an Environmental Assessment (EA) be prepared regarding that particular item. Drash conducted its research by consulting with appropriate state and federal agency personnel and reviewing readily available published data.

Site selection criteria were based on the need for telecommunications coverage in the area and the desire to avoid or minimize possible environmental impact as defined in 47 CFR. Other important factors considered included site accessibility, construction feasibility, logistics and financial issues. The following FCC NEPA issues were reviewed for the evaluation:

2.1 Wilderness Areas and Wildlife Preserves

The Proposed Action is not located on a federal or state wilderness area or wildlife preserve according to a review of United States Forest Service, United States Bureau of Land Management, United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and United States National Park Service databases.

2.2 Threatened Species, Endangered Species and Critical Habitat

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2), directs Federal agencies to utilize their authority in furtherance of the purposes of the Act by carrying out programs for the conservation of listed endangered species or designated critical habitats. In addition, § 7 of the Act sets out the consultation process to be implemented by regulations stipulated in 50 CFR § 402.

A review of the list of identified critical habitats, codified in 50 CFR §§ 17.95, 17.96 and Part 226, indicated the Proposed Action is not located in a designated critical habitat. Based on a comparison of habitats indicated for threatened and endangered species and the habitat present at the Proposed Action, no species were identified present, nor had the potential to be present.

In order to determine if the Proposed Action location is in an area documented to have occurrences of listed and/or proposed threatened or endangered species and their critical habitat, a review was conducted by evaluating the USFWS database. No potential habitats,
obvious signs of burrows, nests, or other plain indications of potential habitat for threatened or endangered species were observed at the time of Drash’s site reconnaissance.

Drash recommends that the Service Guidelines for Recommendations on Communications Tower Siting, Construction, Operation and Decommissioning be followed. As the noted guidelines may minimize the potential for avian collisions, the USFWS has recommended that they be implemented on existing and future telecommunications tower projects. Since migratory birds are documented to migrate to, from, through, and within the State of Texas, Drash recommends that Enertech Resources, LLC review and implement the USFWS tower siting guidelines (see below) when feasible.

Service Guidelines For Recommendations On Communications Tower Siting, Construction, Operation, and Decommissioning

1. Any company/applicant/licensee proposing to construct a new communications tower is strongly encouraged to co-locate the communications equipment on an existing communication tower or other structure (e.g., billboard, water tower, or building mount). Depending on tower load factor limitations, between 6 and 10 providers may co-locate on an existing tower.

2. If co-location is not feasible and a new tower or towers are to be constructed, communications service providers are strongly encouraged to construct towers no more than 199 feet above ground level (AGL), using construction techniques which do not require guy wires (e.g., lattice structure, monopole, etc.). Such towers should not be lighted if Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations permit.

3. If constructing multiple towers, providers should consider the cumulative impact of all the towers to migratory birds, threatened and endangered species, as well as the impact of each individual tower.

4. If at all possible, new towers should be sited within existing “antenna farms” (clusters of towers). Towers should not be sited in or near wetlands, other known bird concentration areas (e.g., state or Federal refuges, staging areas, rookeries), in known migratory or daily movement flyways, or in habitat of threatened or endangered species. Towers should not be sited in areas with a high incidence of fog, mist, and low cloud ceilings.

5. If taller (>199 feet AGL) towers requiring lights for aviation safety must be constructed, the minimum amount of pilot warning and obstruction avoidance lighting required by the FAA should be used. Unless otherwise required by the FAA, only white (preferable) or red strobe lights should be used at night, and these should be the minimum number, minimum intensity, and minimum number of flashes per minute (longest duration between flashes) allowed by the FAA. The use of solid red or pulsating red warning lights at night should be avoided. Current research indicates that solid or pulsating (beacon) red lights attract night-migrating birds at a much higher rate than white strobe lights. Red strobe lights have not yet been studied.
6. Tower designs supported by guy wires proposed to be located in known raptor or water bird concentration areas or in their daily movement routes, or in major diurnal migratory bird movement routes or stopover sites, should have daytime visual markers on the wires to prevent collisions by these diurnally moving species. (For guidance on markers, see Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC). 1994. Mitigating Bird Collisions with Power Lines: The State of the Art in 1994. Edison Electric Institute, Washington, D.C., 78 pp, and Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC) 1996. Suggested Practices for Raptor Protection on Power Lines. Edison Electric Institute/Raptor Research Foundation, Washington, D.C., 128 pp. Copies can be obtained via the Internet at http://www.eei.org/resources/pubcat/enviro/, or by calling 1-800/334-5453).

7. Towers and appending facilities should be sited, designed and constructed so as to avoid or minimize habitat loss within and adjacent to the tower “footprint”. However, construction of a larger tower footprint is preferable to the use of guy wires to support. Road access and fencing should be minimized to reduce or prevent habitat fragmentation, disturbance, and to reduce above-ground obstacles for birds in flight.

8. If significant numbers of breeding, feeding, or roosting birds are known to habitually use the proposed tower construction area, relocation to an alternate site is recommended. If this is not an option, seasonal restrictions on construction may be advisable in order to avoid disturbance during periods of high bird activity.

9. In order to reduce the number of towers needed in the future, providers should be encouraged to design new towers structurally and electrically to accommodate the applicant/licensee's antennas and comparable antennas for at least two additional users (minimum of three users for each tower structure), unless this design requires additional lighting or guy wires for an otherwise unlit and/or non guy-wired tower.

10. Security lighting for on-ground facilities and equipment should be down-shielded to keep light within the boundaries of the site, minimizing the upward throw.

11. If a tower is constructed or proposed for construction, service personnel or researchers from the Communication Tower Working Group should be allowed access to the site to evaluate bird use, conduct dead-bird searches, to place net catchments below the towers but above the ground, and to place radar, Global Positioning System, infrared, thermal imagery, and acoustical monitoring equipment as necessary to assess and verify bird movements and to gain information on the impacts of various tower sizes, configurations, and lighting systems.

12. Towers no longer in use or determined to be obsolete should be removed within 12 months of cessation of use.

Based on visual observations, topographic maps, and publicly available information, it is Drash’s opinion that neither suitable habitat for federal nor state listed threatened or endangered species within Williamson County were observed at the Proposed Action.
2.3 Cultural Resources

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA, 16 U.S.C. § 470 et seq.) and its implementing regulations, “Protection of Historic Properties” (36 CFR Part 800), require federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties.

The FCC’s Nationwide Programmatic Agreement states that, “Unless otherwise established through consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPO), the presumed area of potential effect (AEP) for visual effects for construction of new facilities is the area from which the Proposed Action will be visible.” Drash utilized the Texas Historic Sites Atlas to review state and National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) within a 0.5-mile AEP radius. Drash did not identify any NRHP sites within the AEP.

Drash submitted FCC Form 620 with attachments to the Texas SHPO on September 19, 2013. A response dated October 16, 2013, indicated that the SHPO concurred with Drash’s recommendations and determined that the Proposed Action should not have an effect on listed historic properties. A copy of that Texas SHPO response is included in the appendices.

2.4 Indian Historical Properties of Religious and Cultural Significances

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and its implementing regulations, “Protection of Historic Properties” (36 CFR Part 800) and the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement on the Collocation of Wireless Antennas (adopted March 16, 2001), and the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement effective March 7, 2005, require consultation with Native American tribal groups and Native Hawaiian organizations (NHO) regarding proposed projects and potential impacts to Native American religious sites. In order to determine which Native American tribal groups may potentially have areas of cultural interest within this area of Texas, Drash submitted to the Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) on August 22, 2013 and referenced as TCNS No. 98888.

The following tribal groups were identified for correspondences:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribal Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comanche Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita and Affiliated Tribes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonkawa Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coushatta Indian Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mescalero Apache Tribe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the TCNS reply system and direct correspondence with tribal groups, none of the tribal groups had interests or determined that they had no significant resources within the AEP:

| No Interest |
Drash Project No. 113E1014
Georgetown Public Safety Tower
Georgetown, Texas

While no Native American religious grounds or archeological deposits are known to be in the area of the Proposed Action, buried cultural materials might still be present. If such materials are encountered during construction or disturbance activities, work should cease at the immediate area, while work may continue in those areas of the Proposed Action where no archeological deposits are present. In such a situation, the Advisory Council on Historical Preservation should be contacted in accordance with 36 CFR 800.11(b)(2), in addition to the Texas SHPO.

2.5 Floodplains

A review of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 48491C0290E, dated September 26, 2008, depicts the Site in Zone X, an area determined to be outside the 0.2 percent annual chance floodway. The distance to the nearest 100-year flood plain is greater than 1,700 feet to the southwest.

2.6 Wetlands

The US Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) define wetlands as follows:

Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

The USACOE uses three characteristics when making wetland determinations:

- hydrophytic vegetation - the sum total of macrophytic plant life that occurs in areas where the frequency and duration of inundation or soil saturation produce permanently or periodically saturated soils of sufficient duration to exert a controlling influence on the plant species present.

- hydric soils - a soil that is saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions that favor the growth and regeneration of hydrophytic vegetation (US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Conservation Service (SCS) 1985, as amended by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) in December 1986).

- wetland hydrology - all hydrologic characteristics of areas that are periodically inundated
Based on the observations and a review of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Online Mapper, the Proposed Action does not exhibit the above listed wetlands characteristics. The USACOE is responsible for administering Section 404 of the Clean Water Act permitting process for alterations of wetlands. The appropriate USACOE Office makes the final determination of whether an area is a wetland.

2.7 Surface Features

Construction at the Proposed Action will not impose significant change to the surface features of the area, according to the information provided by the client to Drash.

2.8 Wild and Scenic Rivers

No wild or scenic rivers are located on the Proposed Action according to the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Database that is maintained by the United States National Park Service.

2.9 Coastal Dunes, Beaches and Barriers

No undeveloped dunes, beaches, or coastal barriers are located on the Proposed Action according to the Coastal Barriers Resource System that is maintained by the USFWS.

2.10 Natural Landmarks

No registered natural landmarks are located on the Proposed Action according to the National Registry of Natural Landmarks maintained by the United States National Park Service.

2.11 Residential Neighborhoods

High intensity lights are not anticipated to be installed at this time according to the information provided by the client to Drash.
3.0 FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

3.1 Findings

The Proposed Action will require construction of a new transmitting and receiving 120-foot monopole telecommunications tower and will involve ground-disturbance totaling less than 0.25 acres. The Proposed Action will not involve any unusual risks or impacts to sensitive areas as identified in the NEPA review that would require a site-specific EA.

In accordance with 47 CFR § 1.1307(a)(1-8), an evaluation has been made to determine whether any of the listed FCC special interest items would be significantly affected if a tower structure and/or antenna and associated equipment control cabinets were constructed at the Proposed Action location. No FCC special interest items have been identified that would require that a site-specific EA to be prepared.

The FCC NEPA Land Use Compliance Checklist has been completed based on the information contained in this report and is attached as an appendix to this report.
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GEORGETOWN PUBLIC SAFETY TOWER
D.B. WOOD ROAD
GEORGETOWN, TEXAS
PHOTOGRAPH 1: View is to the east across the proposed site.

PHOTOGRAPH 2: View is along the western site boundary.

PHOTOGRAPH 3: View to the south along D.B. Wood Road.

PHOTOGRAPH 4: View is across D.B. Wood Road to the northwest.
PHOTOGRAPH 5: View of the proposed public safety facility under construction. The proposed tower will be located behind the facility currently under construction.

PHOTOGRAPH 6: View to the west across D.B. Wood Road.

PHOTOGRAPH 7: View the parent property of the proposed telecommunication tower site under development.

PHOTOGRAPH 8: View of the northern adjoining Fire Department facility.
APPENDIX C
SECTION 106 DOCUMENTS
September 19, 2013

Mr. Mark Wolfe  
Texas Historical Commission  
P.O. Box 12276  
Austin, Texas 78711-2276

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

Drash Consultants, LLC (Drash) is performing a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Review for the above referenced project. Drash is submitting for comment to the Texas SHPO, in respect to the Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 for this FCC-regulated wireless telecommunication facility undertaking. **Enertech Resources, LLC is seeking a letter of no effect for a proposed 120-foot monopole telecommunications tower and associated equipment compound to comply with Federal Communications Commission (FCC) requirements as identified in 47CFR Ch. I §1.1307.**

Your comments are requested pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's regulation for compliance with Section 106, codified at 36 CFR Part 800. Enclosed is the NT Submission Packet – FCC Form 620 and appropriate attachments.

We appreciate the opportunity to work with you, and we look forward to working with you on future projects.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Drash Consultants, LLC**

Tomas Hernandez, Jr., P.G.  
Environmental Services Group  
Department Manager

1045 Central Parkway North, Suite 103 - San Antonio, Texas 78232  
TBPE Firm Registration No. F-13654 • TBPG Firm Registration No. 50456

Geotechnical • Construction Materials • Environmental • Forensics
**General Information**

1) (Select only one) ( **NE**  )  
   NE – New  
   UA – Update of Application  
   WD – Withdrawal of Application

2) If this application is for an Update or Withdrawal, enter the file number of the pending application currently on file.  
   File Number:

**Applicant Information**

3) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

4) Name:   **City of Georgetown – Public Safety Operations and Training Center**

**Contact Name**

5) First Name:  **Patrick**  
6) MI:  
7) Last Name:  **Hurley**  
8) Suffix:  **Lt.**  
9) Title:   **Information Management/Technical Services**

**Contact Information**

10) P.O. Box:  
11) Street Address:  **809 Martin Luther King Jr.**

12) City:  **Georgetown**  
13) State:  **TX**  
14) Zip Code:  **78626**

15) Telephone Number:  **512-930-2517**  
16) Fax Number:  **512-930-3524**

17) E-mail Address:  **Patrick.hurley@georgetown.org**

**Consultant Information**

18) FCC Registration Number (FRN):  **0022907281**

19) Name:  **Drash Consultants, LLC**

**Principal Investigator**

20) First Name:  **Herbert**  
21) MI:  **G**  
22) Last Name:  **Uecker**  
23) Suffix:  
24) Title:   **Archaeologist**

**Principal Investigator Contact Information**

25) P.O. Box:  
26) Street Address:  **30803 Buck Lane**

27) City:  **Bulverde**  
28) State:  **TX**  
29) Zip Code:  **78163**

30) Telephone Number:  **830-980-7805**  
31) Fax Number:  **830-438-8666**

32) E-mail Address:  **starsllc@gvtc.com**
### Professional Qualification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>33) Does the Principal Investigator satisfy the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards?</th>
<th>(X) Yes  ( ) No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**34) Areas of Professional Qualification:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X) Archaeologist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Architectural Historian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Historian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Architect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Other (Specify) ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>35) Are there other staff involved who meet the Professional Qualification Standards of the Secretary of the Interior?</th>
<th>( ) Yes  (X) No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If “Yes”, complete the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>36) First Name:</th>
<th>37) MI:</th>
<th>38) Last Name:</th>
<th>39) Suffix:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>40) Title:</th>
<th>41) Areas of Professional Qualification:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Archaeologist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Architectural Historian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Historian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Architect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Other (Specify) ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Site Information

1) TCNS Notification Number: **98888**

2) Site Name: **Georgetown Public Safety & Training Tower**

3) Site Address: **D.B. Wood Road**

4) City: **Georgetown**

5) State: **TX**

6) Zip Code: **78628**

7) County/Borough/Parish: **Williamson County**

8) Nearest Crossroads: **N/A**

9) **NAD 83** Latitude (DD-MM-SS.S): **30 – 40 – 42.74**

10) **NAD 83** Longitude (DD-MM-SS.S): **-97 – 43 – 6.4**

### Tower Information

11) Tower height above ground level (include top-mounted attachments such as lightning rods): **120 (X) Feet ( ) Meters**

12) Tower Type (Select One):

- ( ) Guyed lattice tower
- ( ) Self-supporting lattice
- (X) Monopole
- ( ) Other (Describe):

### Project Status

13) Current Project Status (Select One):

- (X) Construction has not yet commenced **(Please note that City Public Training facility is under construction, the tower has not commenced)**

- ( ) Construction has commenced, but is not completed

  Construction commenced on: _____/_____/_____

- ( ) Construction has been completed

  Construction completed on: _____/_____/_____

---

FCC Form 620
October 2011 – Page 3
14) Direct Effects (Select One):

- ( ) No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
- (X) No Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- ( ) No Adverse Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- ( ) Adverse Effect on one or more Historic Properties in APE

15) Visual Effects (Select One):

- ( ) No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
- (X) No Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- ( ) No Adverse Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- ( ) Adverse Effect on one or more Historic Properties in APE
# Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?  
- Yes (X)  
- No (N)  

2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: 98888  
- Number of Tribes/NHOs: 6

2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: No  
- Number of Tribes/NHOs: N/A

## Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:  

4) Tribe/NHO Name: Comanche Nation

## Contact Name

5) First Name: Kelly  
6) MI:  
7) Last Name: Glance  
8) Suffix:  
9) Title: THPO Assistant

## Contact Information

8) P.O. Box:  
And/or  
9) Street Address: #6 SW 'D' Avenue, Suite A

10) City: Lawton  
11) State: OK  
12) Zip Code: 73502

13) Telephone Number: (580) 595-9960  
14) Fax Number: ( )

15) E-mail Address:  

16) Preferred means of communication:  
- ( ) E-mail  
- (X) Letter  
- ( ) Both

## Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted: 8/22/2013  
11) Date Replied:  
- (X) No Reply  
- ( ) Replied/No Interest  
- ( ) Replied/Have Interest  
- ( ) Replied/Other:  

**FCC Form 620**  
October 2011 – Page 5
### Other Tribes/NHOs Contacted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe/NHO Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Name: <strong>Tonkawa Tribe</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3) First Name: <strong>Joshua</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) MI:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Last Name: <strong>Waffle</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Suffix:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Title: <strong>Tribal Administrator</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8) P.O. Box:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And/Or 9) Street Address: <strong>10951 Allen Drive</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) City: <strong>Tonkawa</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) State: <strong>OK</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) Zip Code: <strong>74653</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) Telephone Number: <strong>(580) 628-2561 ex. 124</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) Fax Number: ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15) E-mail Address: <strong><a href="mailto:jwaffle@tonkawatribe.com">jwaffle@tonkawatribe.com</a></strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16) Preferred means of communication:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X ) E-mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Both</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates &amp; Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17) Date Contacted: <strong>8/22/2013</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18) Date Replied:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X ) No Reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Replied/No Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Replied/Have Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Replied/Other:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Other Tribes/NHOs Contacted

#### Tribe/NHO Information

1) **FCC Registration Number (FRN):**

2) **Name:** Coushatta Indian Tribe

#### Contact Name

3) **First Name:** Linda  
4) **MI:** P  
5) **Last Name:** Langley  
6) **Suffix:**

7) **Title:** THPO

#### Contact Information

8) **P.O. Box:** 10  
9) **Street Address:**

10) **City:** Elton  
11) **State:** LA  
12) **Zip Code:** 70532

13) **Telephone Number:** (337) 584-1560  
14) **Fax Number:** ( )

15) **E-mail Address:** llangle@mcnesse.edu

16) **Preferred means of communication:**
   - ( ) E-mail
   - ( ) Letter
   - ( X ) Both

#### Dates & Response

17) **Date Contacted:** 8/22/2013  
18) **Date Replied:**

   - ( X ) No Reply
   - ( ) Replied/No Interest
   - ( ) Replied/Have Interest
   - ( ) Replied/Other:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe/NHO Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Name: <strong>Mescalero Apache Tribe</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3) First Name: <strong>Holly</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) MI:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Last Name: <strong>Houghten</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Suffix:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Title: <strong>THPO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8) P.O. Box: <strong>227</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And /Or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Street Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) City: <strong>Mescalero</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) State: <strong>NM</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) Zip Code: <strong>88340</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) Telephone Number: <strong>(575) 464-3005</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) Fax Number: ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15) E-mail Address: <strong><a href="mailto:holly@mathpo.org">holly@mathpo.org</a></strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates &amp; Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16) Preferred means of communication:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) E-mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X ) Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17) Date Contacted: <strong>8/22/2013</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18) Date Replied:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X ) No Reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Replied/No Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Replied/Have Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Replied/Other:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Other Tribes/NHOs Contacted

#### Tribe/NHO Information

1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

2) Name: **Wichita and Affiliated Tribes**

#### Contact Name

3) First Name: **Jason**

4) MI:

5) Last Name: **Prince**

6) Suffix:

7) Title: **TCNS Representative & GAP Technician**

#### Contact Information

8) P.O. Box: **729**

9) Street Address: **1 ¼ Miles North On Highway 281**

10) City: **Anadarko**

11) State: **OK**

12) Zip Code: **73005**

13) Telephone Number:

14) Fax Number: (   )

15) E-mail Address:

16) Preferred means of communication:

(   ) E-mail

(   ) Letter

(   ) Both

#### Dates & Response

17) Date Contacted: **8/22/2013**

18) Date Replied:

(   ) No Reply

(   ) Replied/No Interest

(   ) Replied/Have Interest

(   ) Replied/Other:
### Tribe/NHO Information

1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

2) Name: **Delaware Nation**

### Contact Name

3) First Name: **Tamara**

4) MI:

5) Last Name: **Francis-Fourkiller**

6) Suffix:

7) Title: **Director**

### Contact Information

8) P.O. Box: **And/Or**

9) Street Address: **31064 State Highway 281**

10) City: **Anadarko**

11) State: **OK**

12) Zip Code: **73005**

13) Telephone Number:

14) Fax Number: **( )**

15) E-mail Address:

16) Preferred means of communication:

( ) E-mail

( X ) Letter

( ) Both

### Dates & Response

17) Date Contacted: **8/22/2013**

18) Date Replied:

( X ) No Reply

( ) Replied/No Interest

( ) Replied/Have Interest

( ) Replied/Other:
### Historic Properties

#### Properties Identified

1) Have any historic properties been identified within the APEs for direct and visual effect?  
   (  ) Yes (  ) No

2) Has the identification process located archaeological materials that would be directly affected, or sites that are of cultural or religious significance to Tribes/NHOs?  
   (  ) Yes (  ) No

3) Are there more than 10 historic properties within the APEs for direct and visual effect?  
   If "Yes", you are required to attach a Cultural Resources Report in lieu of adding the Historic Property below.  
   (  ) Yes (  ) No

#### Historic Property

4) Property Name:

5) SHPO Site Number:

#### Property Address

6) Street Address:

7) City:  
8) State:  
9) Zip Code:

10) County/Borough/Parish:

#### Status & Eligibility

11) Is this property listed on the National Register?  
Source: _______________________________________________________________________________________
   (  ) Yes (  ) No

12) Is this property eligible for listing on the National Register?  
Source: _______________________________________________________________________________________
   (  ) Yes (  ) No

13) Is this property a National Historic Landmark?  
   (  ) Yes (  ) No

14) Direct Effects (Select One):  
   (  ) No Effect on this Historic Property in APE  
   (  ) No Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE  
   (  ) Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE

15) Visual Effects (Select One):  
   (  ) No Effect on this Historic Property in APE  
   (  ) No Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE  
   (  ) Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE
Local Government Involvement

Local Government Agency

1) FCC Registration Number (FRN): N/A

2) Name: N/A
   The City is the owner for the project.

Contact Name

3) First Name:  4) MI:  5) Last Name:  6) Suffix:

7) Title:

Contact Information

8) P.O. Box:  And /Or  9) Street Address:

10) City:  11) State:  12) Zip Code:

13) Telephone Number:  (   )  14) Fax Number:  (   )

15) E-mail Address:

16) Preferred means of communication:
   (   ) E-mail
   (   ) Letter
   (   ) Both

Dates & Response

17) Date Contacted:  18) Date Replied:
   (   ) No Reply
   (   ) Replied/No Interest
   (   ) Replied/Have Interest
   (   ) Replied/Other:

Additional Information

19) Information on local government’s role or interest (optional):
### Other Consulting Parties

#### Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party?  
   - Yes (X) No

#### Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name:

#### Contact Name

4) First Name:  
5) MI:  
6) Last Name:  
7) Suffix:

8) Title:

#### Contact Information

9) P.O. Box:  
   - And/Or  
10) Street Address:

11) City:  
12) State:  
13) Zip Code:

14) Telephone Number: (       )  
15) Fax Number: (       )

16) E-mail Address:

17) Preferred means of communication:  
   - (       ) E-mail  
   - (       ) Letter  
   - (       ) Both

#### Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted:  
19) Date Replied:

   - (       ) No Reply
   - (       ) Replied/No Interest
   - (       ) Replied/Have Interest
   - (       ) Replied/Other:

#### Additional Information

19) Information on local government’s role or interest (optional):
Designation of SHPO/THPO

1) Designate the Lead State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) based on the location of the tower.

**SHPO/THPO**

Name: Texas SHPO

You may also designate up to three additional SHPOs/THPOs if the APEs include multiple states. If the APEs include other countries, enter the name of the National Historic Preservation Agency and any state and provincial Historic Preservation Agency.

SHPO/THPO Name: N/A

SHPO/THPO Name: N/A

SHPO/THPO Name: N/A

Designation of SHPO/THPO Attachments may be required – See instructions for details.

**Certification**

I certify that all representations on this FCC Form 620 Submission Packet and the accompanying attachments are true, correct, and complete.

**Party Authorized to Sign**

First Name: Tomas

MI: 

Last Name: Hernandez

Suffix: Jr.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 19 September 2013

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS APPLICATION MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF THE APPLICATION AND FORFEITURE OF ANY FEES PAID.

WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS MADE ON THIS FORM OR ANY ATTACHMENTS ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001) AND/OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. Code, Title 47, Section 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. Code, Title 47, Section 503).
ATTACHMENT 5

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

A legal notice was published in a local newspaper, on August 30, 2013 in *The Williamson County Sun* in Georgetown, Texas.

---

**PUBLICATION NOTICE**

**Proposed Telecommunication Tower**

City of Georgetown is proposing 125 foot monopole tower. The site is located east of D.B. Wood Road at the City of Georgetown Public Safety and Training Center, Georgetown, Williamson County, Texas at Lat N30 40 42.74; Long -97 43 5.40.

**HISTORIC PROPERTIES EFFECTS** - Public Comments regarding potential effects on historic properties may be submitted within 30 days from the date of this publication to: Drash Consultants, Inc. ATTN: Tomas Hernandez, Jr., 1045 Central Parkway North, Suite 103, San Antonio, Texas 78232, (210) 340-5004 or thernandez@drashconsultants.com.

---

**IN THE MATTER OF THE**

Drash Consultants

Proposed Telecommunication Tower

Historic Properties Effects

THE STATE OF TEXAS.

County of WILLIAMSON

---

The Williamson County Sun / Sunday Sun

Newspapers of general circulation have been continuously and regularly published for a period of not less than one year in the County of WILLIAMSON Texas, preceding the date of the attached notice. Said notice was published in said paper as follows:

First insertion 8th day of September 2013

Second insertion day of 20

Third insertion day of 20

Fourth insertion day of 20

Rise Elsom

Newspaper Representative.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 9th day of September 2013

Lorraine McKay

Notary Public State of Texas My Commission Expires AUGUST 31, 2016

My Commission Expires 08/31/2016

---

Drash Project No. 113E1012
Georgetown Public Safety Tower
Section 106 Assessment 6
September 7, 2013

Tomas Hernandez, Jr., P.G.
Environmental Services Group Manager
Drash Consultants, LLC
1045 Central Parkway North, Suite 103
San Antonio, Texas 78232

Re: Desktop Archeological Review: Georgetown Public Safety Cell Tower Project 113E1014, Williamson Co, Tx

Dear Mr. Hernandez:

As you requested, we performed a desktop review that focused on estimation of the potential for this project to impact archeological resources as defined in the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). We searched the State Historic Preservation Officer’s (SHPO) *Texas Archeological Sites Atlas* for previous archeological findings within or near the project area. We estimated the probability for the presence or absence of unknown prehistoric archeological resources within or near the area based on Atlas data, topographic analysis, and the information you provided. We did not visit the project area.

Apparently the project area has not yet been investigated by SHPO-qualified archeologists and contains no archeological resources that were previously discovered or registered with the State of Texas. The Atlas search revealed that the area is surrounded by numerous archeological sites that were previously found and registered with the State. In our opinion, the proximity of such sites and of Lake Georgetown (formed from a segment of the North Fork San Gabriel River), substantially elevates the odds for the presence of archeological resources, especially prehistoric sites, not yet discovered or registered. Any ground disturbances associated with this project could impact such sites, if present. Therefore we believe that examination of the project area by qualified archeologists, starting at the survey level, will likely be needed in order to satisfy typical NHPA archeological requirements.

We do not warrant the accuracy or appropriateness of data from any of the sources we consulted, and do not purport to give legal advice. Our review is not intended as a substitute for review by the SHPO, whose opinions might differ from ours concerning the archeological potential or requirements for the project area. The SHPO might also have concerns about the potential impacts of this project on non-archeological cultural resources, including visual effects to buildings, structures, objects, sites, and districts within the view shed of the tower site as defined in the Federal Communications Commission’s Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (PA; 47 CFR Part 1), which we did not address in our review. If you have any questions, or if we may be of any further help, please contact me anytime. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Herbert G. Uecker
Principal Investigator and Cultural Resources Director

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 and Antiquities Code of Texas Compliance
30803 Buck Lane, Bulverde, Texas 78163-2117
Phone: 830/980-7805 Fax: 830/438-8666
Email: starsllc@gvtc.com
Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this electronic mail message is to inform you that the following authorized persons were sent the information you provided through TCNS, which relates to your proposed antenna structure. The information was forwarded by the FCC to authorized TCNS users by electronic mail and/or regular mail (letter).

Persons who have received the information that you provided include leaders or their designees of federally-recognized American Indian Tribes, including Alaska Native Villages (collectively "Tribes"), Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), and State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs). For your convenience in identifying the referenced Tribes and in making further contacts, the City and State of the Seat of Government for each Tribe and NHO, as well as the designated contact person, is included in the listing below. We note that Tribes may have Section 106 cultural interests in ancestral homelands or other locations that are far removed from their current Seat of Government. Pursuant to the Commission's rules as set forth in the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA), all Tribes and NHOs listed below must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to respond to this notification, consistent with the procedures set forth below, unless the proposed construction falls within an exclusion designated by the Tribe or NHO. (NPA, Section IV.F.4).

The information you provided was forwarded to the following Tribes and NHOs who have set their geographic preferences on TCNS. If the information you provided relates to a proposed antenna structure in the State of Alaska, the following list also includes Tribes located in the State of Alaska that have not specified their geographic preferences. For these Tribes and NHOs, if the Tribe or NHO does not respond within a reasonable time, you should make a reasonable effort at follow-up contact, unless the Tribe or NHO has agreed to different procedures (NPA, Section IV.F.5). In the event such a Tribe or NHO does not respond to a follow-up inquiry, or if a substantive or procedural disagreement arises between you and a Tribe or NHO, you must seek guidance from the Commission (NPA, Section IV.G). These procedures are further set forth in the FCC's Declaratory Ruling released on October 6, 2005 (FCC 05-176).

1. THPO Assistant Kelly Glancy - Comanche Nation - Lawton, OK - regular mail
Details: The Comanche Nation Historic Preservation Office does not need to review the following types of projects; those that have already been evaluated by the Comanche Nation involving pre-existing above-ground feature additions or modifications(unless there isan increase in height), and those that have already been evaluated by the Comanche Nation within the city limits on a previously disturbed site.

If the proposed project does not meet one of the aforementioned conditions, the Comanche Nation Historic Preservation Office requires the following items; photographs taken of all 4 directions (north, south, east and west) from the center of the project site (where possible), legal description of the proposed site (i.e.; section, range, township, etc.) and
any existing archeological/environmental reports or surveys relating to the site. We do not require, but request that you provide us with an aerial view of the proposed site if possible.

Due to the heavy volume of requests, we can no longer accept email requests.

Please send all materials to the Comanche Nation Historic Preservation Office, C/O Kelly Glancy, #6 SW 'D' Avenue, Suite 'A', Lawton, Oklahoma 73502 via regular or express mail, with a $500 review fee, per project (payable to the Comanche Nation Historic Preservation Office). Please note on the cashier’s check/money order (or on an attachment), the TCNS Number/Project Name that the review fee is being provided for. Upon receipt of review fee, the Comanche Nation Historic Preservation Office will promptly respond to your review request. Other correspondence can be sent to the main P.O. Box 908, Lawton, Oklahoma 73502 address.

Note: If the Comanche Nation Office of Historic Preservation has commented previously on the project under requested review, inform us of the date in the body of the review request, and you will not be assessed a review fee. If you are in need of additional information you may contact us directly at (580) 595-9960 or 9618 for clarification. In addition, this messagewill serve as the 'Official' Notice from the Comanche Nation Historic Preservation Office and can be printed for your records, if necessary.

Thank you!

Sincerely,
Jimmy W. Arterberry, THPO

2. Cultural Preservation Director Tamara Francis-Fourkiller - Delaware Nation - Anadarko, OK - regular mail
Details: The Delaware Nation located in Anadarko, Oklahoma charges a $500 administrative fee for the review of ALL projects. (Change Effective 5/21/2013).
Send fee payable to the Delaware Nation in the form of a check or money order. All projects for review by the Delaware Nation must pay the $500 fee.
Please note that the Delaware Nation and the Delaware Tribe of Indians ARE NOT the same entity.
Send all correspondence for the Delaware Nation to
The Delaware Nation
ATTN: Cultural Preservation Department
31064 State Hwy 281
Anadarko, OK 73005.

3. TCNS Representative & GAP Technician Jason Prince - Wichita and Affiliated Tribes - Anadarko, OK - electronic mail and regular mail

If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Wichita and Affiliated Tribes within 30 days after notification through TCNS, the Wichita and Affiliated Tribes has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, however, must immediately notify the Wichita and Affiliated Tribes in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction, consistent with Section IX of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and applicable law.

4. Tribal Administrator Joshua Waffle - Tonkawa Tribe - Tonkawa, OK - electronic mail
5. THPO Linda P Langley - Coushatta Indian Tribe - Elton, LA - electronic mail and regular mail

6. Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Holly B Houghten - Mescalero Apache Tribe - Mescalero, NM - electronic mail
Details: The Mescalero Apache Tribe does not wish to review towers that are being placed upon existing buildings. For review of all other proposed towers located within the Mescalero Apache Tribe’s traditional homelands, the Tribe will charge a $125.00 review fee. Please send this fee to the Historic Preservation Office, Mescalero Apache Tribe, P.O. Box 227, Mescalero, NM 88340. Please make the check payable to the Mescalero Apache Tribe and note on the check, or an attachment, the TCNS# or project name/number that the review fee is provided for. Upon receipt of the review fee, the Mescalero Apache Tribe will promptly respond to your review request.

The information you provided was also forwarded to the additional Tribes and NHOs listed below. These Tribes and NHOs have NOT set their geographic preferences on TCNS, and therefore they are currently receiving tower notifications for the entire United States. For these Tribes and NHOs, you are required to use reasonable and good faith efforts to determine if the Tribe or NHO may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by its proposed undertaking. Such efforts may include, but are not limited to, seeking information from the relevant SHPO or THPO, Indian Tribes, state agencies, the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, or, where applicable, any federal agency with land holdings within the state (NPA, Section IV.B). If after such reasonable and good faith efforts, you determine that a Tribe or NHO may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties in the area and the Tribe or NHO does not respond to TCNS notification within a reasonable time, you should make a reasonable effort to follow up, and must seek guidance from the Commission in the event of continued non-response or in the event of a procedural or substantive disagreement. If you determine that the Tribe or NHO is unlikely to attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties within the area, you do not need to take further action unless the Tribe or NHO indicates an interest in the proposed construction or other evidence of potential interest comes to your attention.

None

The information you provided was also forwarded to the following SHPOs in the State in which you propose to construct and neighboring States. The information was provided to these SHPOs as a courtesy for their information and planning. You need make no effort at this time to follow up with any SHPO that does not respond to this notification. Prior to construction, you must provide the SHPO of the State in which you propose to construct (or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, if the project will be located on certain Tribal lands), with a Submission Packet pursuant to Section VII.A of the NPA.

7. SHPO Cathie Matthews - Department of Arkansas Heritage - Little Rock, AR - electronic mail and regular mail

8. Deputy SHPO Ken Grunewald - Department of Arkansas Heritage - Little Rock, AR - electronic mail and regular mail
If you are proposing to construct a facility in the State of Alaska, you should contact Commission staff for guidance regarding your obligations in the event that Tribes do not respond to this notification within a reasonable time.

Please be advised that the FCC cannot guarantee that the contact(s) listed above opened and reviewed an electronic or regular mail notification. The following information relating to the proposed tower was forwarded to the person(s) listed above:

Notification Received: 08/22/2013  
Notification ID: 98888  
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: City of Georgetown  
Consultant Name: Tomas Hernandez Jr  
Street Address: 1045 Central Parkway North  
Suite 103  
City: San Antonio  
State: TEXAS  
Zip Code: 78245  
Phone: 210-340-5004  
Email: thernandez@drashconsultants.com  
Structure Type: POLE - Any type of Pole  
Latitude: 30 deg 40 min 42.7 sec N  
Longitude: 97 deg 43 min 6.4 sec W  
Location Description: D.B. Wood Road (0.31 miles south of Williams Drive)  
City: Georgetown  
State: TEXAS  
County: WILLIAMSON  
Ground Elevation: 264.4 meters  
Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level  
Overall Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level  
Overall Height AMSL: 301.0 meters above mean sea level

If you have any questions or comments regarding this notice, please contact the FCC using the electronic mail form located on the FCC’s website at:


You may also call the FCC Support Center at (877) 480-3201 (TTY 717-338-2824). Hours are from 8 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays). To provide quality service and ensure security, all telephone calls are recorded.

Thank you,
Federal Communications Commission
Dear Patrick Hurley,

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission’s (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this email is to inform you that an authorized user of the TCNS has replied to a proposed tower construction notification that you had submitted through the TCNS.

The following message has been sent to you from Tribal Administrator Joshua Waffle of the Tonkawa Tribe in reference to Notification ID #98888:

The following site(s) have been reviewed and to date (Friday, September 13, 2013) with current resources, the Tonkawa Tribe has no known burial sites of the Tonkawa Indians. If any remains or artifacts are discovered please contact the appropriate Agencies and our Tribal Facilities immediately. If the Tonkawa Tribes databases change in regards to the statement in this letter, a Tribal Representative will contact you.

Respectfully,

Joshua Waffle
Tribal Administrator Tonkawa Tribe
Ph 580 628 2561 124
Fx 580 628 2279
Cl 580 491 1209
jwaffle@tonkawatribe.com

For your convenience, the information you submitted for this notification is detailed below.

Notification Received: 08/22/2013
Notification ID: 98888
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: City of Georgetown
Consultant Name: Tomas Hernandez Jr
Street Address: 1045 Central Parkway North
    Suite 103
City: San Antonio
State: TEXAS
Zip Code: 78245
Phone: 210-340-5004
Email: thernandez@drashconsultants.com

Structure Type: POLE - Any type of Pole
Latitude: 30 deg 40 min 42.7 sec N
Longitude: 97 deg 43 min 6.4 sec W
Location Description: D.B. Wood Road (0.31 miles south of Williams Drive)
City: Georgetown
State: TEXAS
County: WILLIAMSON
Ground Elevation: 264.4 meters
Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 301.0 meters above mean sea level
September 26, 2013

Re: TCNS # 98888 (Site: Georgetown Public Safety Tower)

Dear Mr. Hernandez:

In response to your request, the above referenced project has been reviewed by staff of this office. Based on the information provided and a search within the Comanche Nation Site Files, we have determined that there are no properties affected by this undertaking.

If you require additional information or are in need of further assistance, please contact this office at (580) 595-9960 or 9618.

This review is performed in order to locate, record, and preserve the Comanche Nation and State’s prehistoric and historic cultural heritage, in cooperation with the State Historic Preservation Office.

Sincerely,

Kelly Glancy, HPO Assistant
Tribal Historic Preservation Office
Comanche Nation
11/05/2013

Tomas Hernandez
Drash Consultants
1045 Central Parkway North, Suite 103
San Antonio, Texas 78232

Dear Mr. Hernandez:

We received your payment of $500.00 in check number 002698 for the Site assigned to TCNS # 98888 & Invoice # 08.13.211 for the construction of a communication tower located on DB Wood road, Georgetown, Williamson County, Texas. I have enclosed receipt numbered 08.13.211 for your accounts receivable records.

At this time, we know of no known sacred and/or ceremonial sites in the immediate area. However, if any cultural resources, such as, bone, pottery, flakes or stone tools, etc, are found during the construction please contact us immediately.

Sincerely,

Michael Tarpley
Deputy THPO
Enclosures
Date: 11-4-13
Company: Drash Consultants
TCNS #: 98888
County: Georgetown
State: Texas
Project Description: Bottlemoppe Telecom Tower Compound

To Whom It May Concern:

The Delaware Nation Cultural Preservation Department received correspondence regarding the above referenced project. Our office is committed to protecting sites important to tribal heritage, culture and religion. Furthermore, the tribe is particularly concerned with archaeological sites that may contain human burials or remains, and associated funerary objects.

As described in your correspondence and upon research of our database(s) and files, we find that the Lenape people occupied this area either prehistorically or historically. However, the location of the project does not endanger cultural or religious sites of interest to the Delaware Nation. Please continue with the project as planned. However, should this project inadvertently uncover an archaeological site or object(s), we request that you halt all construction and ground disturbance activities and immediately contact the appropriate state agencies, as well as our office (within 24 hours).

Please note the Delaware Nation, the Delaware Tribe of Indians, and the Stockbridge Munsee Band of Mohican Indians are the only Federally Recognized Delaware/Lenape entities in the United States and consultation must be made only with designated staff of these three tribes. We appreciate your cooperation in contacting the Delaware Nation Cultural Preservation Office to conduct proper Section 106 consultation. Should you have any questions, feel free to contact our offices at 405/247-8903 or by email: tfrancis@delaw arenation.com.

Tamara Francis-Fourkiller
Cultural Preservation Director
The Delaware Nation
31064 State Highway 281
Anadarko, OK 73005
Dear Patrick Hurley,

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this email is to inform you that an authorized user of the TCNS has replied to a proposed tower construction notification that you had submitted through the TCNS.

The following message has been sent to you from Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Holly B Houghten of the Mescalero Apache Tribe in reference to Notification ID #98888:

After review of this communications project, it has been determined that the Mescalero Apache Tribe has no immediate concerns within the project area, and that the project will cause no adverse effects to cultural resources or areas of interest to the Mescalero Apache Tribe. If, however, the Applicant discovers archeological remains or resources during construction, the Applicant should stop construction and notify the appropriate Federal Agency and Tribe(s).

For your convenience, the information you submitted for this notification is detailed below.

Notification Received: 08/22/2013  
Notification ID: 98888  
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: City of Georgetown  
Consultant Name: Tomas Hernandez Jr  
Street Address: 1045 Central Parkway North  
    Suite 103  
City: San Antonio  
State: TEXAS  
Zip Code: 78245  
Phone: 210-340-5004  
Email: thernandez@trashconsultants.com

Structure Type: POLE - Any type of Pole  
Latitude: 30 deg 40 min 42.7 sec N  
Longitude: 97 deg 43 min 6.4 sec W  
Location Description: D.B. Wood Road (0.31 miles south of Williams Drive)  
City: Georgetown  
State: TEXAS  
County: WILLIAMSON  
Ground Elevation: 264.4 meters  
Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level  
Overall Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level  
Overall Height AMSL: 310.1 meters above mean sea level
APPENDIX D

NEPA LAND USE COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST
### FCC NEPA Land Use Screening Checklist

**Site Name:** Georgetown Public Safety Tower  
**Tower Height:** 120-foot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>N30° 40' 42.74&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>W97° 43' 6.40&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site Type:**  
- [ ] Raw land  
- [ ] Other colo*  
- [ ] Stealth Tower  
- [x] Guy Tower  
- [ ] Monopole  
- [ ] Self-Support

### Environmental Land Use Compliance Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCC NEPA Category</th>
<th>Check appropriate box(es) below</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| [ ] 1. Is the proposed facility located in an officially designated wilderness area? | [ ] No Adverse Impact  
| [ ] 2. Is the proposed facility located in an officially designated wildlife preserve? | [ ] Potential Adverse Impact  
| [ ] 3. Will the proposed facility likely affect threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitats | [ ] Exempt from Review¹  
| [ ] 4. Will the proposed facility affect districts, sites, buildings structures or objects significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering or culture that are listed (or eligible for listing) in the National Register of Historic Places? | [ ] NPA Applies²  
| [ ] 5. Will the proposed facility affect Indian religious site(s)? | [ ]  
| [ ] 6. Is the proposed facility located in a floodplain-designated Zone A or Special Flood Hazard Area? | [ ]  
| [ ] 7. Will construction of the proposed facility involve significant change in surface features (e.g. wetland fill, deforestation, or water diversion)? | [ ]  
| [ ] 8. Is the proposed facility located in a residential neighborhood and required to be equipped with high intensity white lights (as defined by local zoning law)? | [ ]  

**Notes:**

¹For collocations only.  
²Prepare the NPA Collocation Exemption Letter Report.

The undersigned has reviewed and approved the completion of this FCC NEPA Land Use Compliance Checklist for the above referenced site.

**Signature:**

[Signature]

**Prepared By:** Tomas Hernandez, Jr.  
**Company:** Drash Consultants, LLC  
**Date:** November 6, 2013