

DESCRIPTION OF TRANSACTION AND PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

By this and seven other contemporaneously filed Form 603 assignment applications,¹ the Commission's consent is respectfully sought for the simultaneous assignments of Advanced Wireless Service at 1710-1755 and 2110-2155 MHz ("AWS-1"), Advanced Wireless Service at 1695-1710, 1755-1780 and 2155-2180 MHz ("AWS-3" and, together with AWS-1, "AWS"), Personal Communications Services ("PCS") and Lower Band 700 MHz ("700 MHz") A-Block licenses between T-Mobile License LLC ("T-Mobile License") and each of USCOC of Nebraska/Kansas, LLC ("USCOC Nebraska"), USCOC of Greater Oklahoma, LLC ("USCOC Oklahoma"), Oregon RSA #2, Inc. ("Oregon RSA") and Barat Wireless, L.P. ("Barat Wireless" and, together with USCOC Nebraska, USCOC Oklahoma and Oregon RSA, the "US Cellular Parties"; T-Mobile License and the US Cellular Parties are collectively the "Parties").

The assignments involve only the transfer of spectrum; no network or other assets or customers. The assignments are inter-market license exchanges that will augment spectrum holdings of the assignees, expanding capacity and thereby benefiting consumers. In all markets, each Party will remain far below the spectrum aggregation screen level that the Commission has found may raise competitive concerns. Accordingly, and for the reasons set forth in more detail below, the Parties submit that Commission approval of the proposed transactions is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and that the applications should be approved expeditiously and without conditions.

Description of the Parties

T-Mobile License is a wholly-owned subsidiary of T-Mobile USA, Inc. ("T-Mobile USA") and, indirectly, T-Mobile US, Inc. ("T-Mobile US"), a publicly traded company, and part of the family of companies that operate under the T-Mobile® brand names. Deutsche Telekom AG, a publicly-traded German company based in Bonn, Germany ("DT"), holds a 65.30 percent interest in T-Mobile US through its wholly-owned subsidiary T-Mobile Global Zwischenholding GmbH ("T-Mobile Global"). This subsidiary owns all of the equity and voting interests of T-Mobile Global Holding GmbH ("T-Mobile Holding"), which owns all of the equity and voting interests of Deutsche Telekom Holding B.V. ("DT Holding B.V."), which in turn holds the 65.30 percent interest in T-Mobile US. The remaining 34.70 percent interest in T-Mobile US is held by public shareholders.

¹ See ULS File No. 0007150970 (lead) (Assignments from T-Mobile License to USCOC Nebraska); ULS File No. 0007151002 (Assignments from T-Mobile License to USCOC Oklahoma); ULS File No. 0007151065 (Assignments from T-Mobile License to Oregon RSA); ULS File No. 0007151075 (assignments from T-Mobile License to Barat Wireless); ULS File No. 0007152287 (Assignment from USCOC Nebraska to T-Mobile License); ULS File No. 0007152302 (Assignment from USCOC Oklahoma to T-Mobile License); ULS File No. 0007152290 (Assignment from Oregon RSA to T-Mobile License); ULS File No. 0007152307 (Assignment from Barat Wireless to T-Mobile License).

Led by a management team with decades of collective experience in the telecommunications industry, T-Mobile US is headquartered in Bellevue, Washington, offers nationwide wireless voice and data services to consumer and business customers and provides service to over 61 million subscribers. The Commission has repeatedly found that T-Mobile License and its controlling companies have the requisite character and qualifications to hold Commission authorizations.² An FCC Form 602 providing current ownership information for T-Mobile License is on file with the Commission.³

The US Cellular Parties, each a Delaware entity, are wholly owned subsidiaries of United States Cellular Corporation ("USCC"), which is, in turn, a majority owned subsidiary of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. ("TDS"). USCC and TDS are the real parties in interest in this application. USCC is a leading provider of wireless and related services in the United States serving over 4.8 million customers nationwide through its Fourth Generation Long Term Evolution ("LTE") and CDMA networks. Further ownership and additional information regarding USCC and TDS can be found in their Forms 602, "Ownership Disclosure for Wireless Telecommunications Services," which are on file with the FCC.⁴ USCC's qualifications as a wireless licensee are a matter of public record and have repeatedly been approved by the FCC.⁵

Description of the Transaction

Each of T-Mobile License and the US Cellular Parties are parties to a License Exchange Agreement dated as of February 9, 2016 (the "Exchange Agreement"), pursuant to which:

(i) T-Mobile License has agreed to assign to USCOC Nebraska the portions of the applicable T-Mobile License licenses shown in Part 1 of Attachment A hereto (such full licenses the "T-Mobile License Full Licenses") composed of the full spectrum bandwidth in the partitioned license areas shown in Part 2A of Attachment A hereto (such portions the "T-Mobile License USCOC Nebraska Assigned Licenses");

² See, e.g., *Applications of Deutsche Telekom AG, T-Mobile USA, Inc., and MetroPCS Communications, Inc. for Consent to Transfer of Control of Licenses and Authorizations*, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Declaratory Ruling, 28 FCC Rcd 2322, 2330 ¶ 19 (WTB/IB 2013) ("*T-Mobile-MetroPCS Order*"); *Applications of T-Mobile USA, Inc. and SunCom Wireless Holdings, Inc.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 23 FCC Rcd 2515, 2519-20 ¶ 10 (2008).

³ See FCC File No. 0007138581.

⁴ USCOC is a wholly owned subsidiary of USCC, and therefore is entitled to rely upon USCC's ownership report. See Form 602 FAQs.

⁵ See, e.g., Application for Assignment of License from McBride Spectrum Partners, LLC to Hardy Cellular Telephone Company, File No. 0006447948 (granted September 14, 2015).

(ii) T-Mobile License has agreed to assign to USCOC Oklahoma the portions of the applicable T-Mobile License Full Licenses composed of the full spectrum bandwidth in the partitioned license areas shown in Part 2B of Attachment A hereto (such portions the “T-Mobile License USCOC Oklahoma Assigned Licenses”);

(iii) T-Mobile License has agreed to assign to Oregon RSA the portions of the applicable T-Mobile License Full Licenses composed of the full spectrum bandwidth in the partitioned license areas shown in Part 2C of Attachment A hereto (such portions the “T-Mobile License Oregon RSA Assigned Licenses”);

(iv) T-Mobile License has agreed to assign to Barat Wireless portion of the applicable T-Mobile License Full License composed of the full spectrum bandwidth in partitioned license area shown in Part 2D of Attachment A hereto (such portion the “T-Mobile License Barat Wireless Assigned License” and, together with the T-Mobile License USCOC Nebraska Assigned Licenses, the T-Mobile License USCOC Oklahoma Assigned Licenses and the T-Mobile License Oregon RSA Assigned Licenses, the “T-Mobile License Assigned Licenses”);

(v) USCOC Nebraska has agreed to assign to T-Mobile License the portion of the USCOC Nebraska license shown in Part 1A of Attachment B hereto (such full license the “USCOC Nebraska Full License”) composed of the full spectrum bandwidth in the partitioned license area shown in Part 2A of Attachment B hereto (such portion the “USCOC Nebraska Assigned License”);

(vi) USCOC Oklahoma has agreed to assign to T-Mobile License the portion of the USCOC Oklahoma license shown in Part 1B of Attachment B hereto (such full license the “USCOC Oklahoma Full License”) composed of the full spectrum bandwidth in the full license area shown in Part 2B of Attachment B hereto (such portion the “USCOC Oklahoma Assigned License”);

(vii) Oregon RSA has agreed to assign to T-Mobile License the portion of the Oregon RSA license shown in Part 1C of Attachment B hereto (such full license the “Oregon RSA Full License”) composed of the full spectrum bandwidth in the partitioned license area shown in Part 2C of Attachment B hereto (such portion the “Oregon RSA Assigned License”); and

(viii) Barat Wireless has agreed to assign to T-Mobile License the portion of the Barat Wireless license shown in Part 1D of Attachment B hereto (such full license the “Barat Wireless Full License” and, together with the USCOC Nebraska Full License, the USCOC Oklahoma Full License, the Oregon RSA Full License and the T-Mobile License Full Licenses, the “Full Licenses”) composed of the full spectrum bandwidth in the full license area shown in Part 2D of Attachment B hereto (such portion the “Barat Wireless”);

Assigned License” and, together with the USCOC Nebraska Assigned License, the USCOC Oklahoma Assigned License, the Oregon RSA Assigned License, the “US Cellular Assigned Licenses”; the T-Mobile License Assigned Licenses and the US Cellular Assigned Licenses are collectively the “Assigned Licenses”).

Each of the license assignments described above will occur concurrently at the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Exchange Agreement (each an “Exchange Closing”).

Transition Issues

The transactions involve only the assignments of spectrum and does not include the transfer of any other assets, facilities or customers. There will be no loss of an existing service provider in any of the market areas subject to these transactions. Although the Parties provide service to customers using some of their respective Assigned License(s), all of these operations will be transitioned to other spectrum that the applicable carrier (or its affiliates) has in the same market. In the case of the Assigned Licenses in the AWS-3 and 700 MHz services, the applicable Parties do not provide service to end-user customers using this spectrum. Therefore, these transitions will be seamless and invisible to retail customers, and there will be no discontinuance, reduction, loss or impairment of service to customers.

The Parties intend to consummate the subject transactions promptly following Commission consent by “Final Order” (unless finality is waived by the Parties) and the satisfaction of certain contractual conditions.

Public Interest Statement

Section 310(d) of the Act requires that the Commission determine whether the transaction presented herein is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.⁶ To make that assessment, the Commission generally considers four factors: “(1) whether the transaction would result in the violation of the Act or any other applicable statutory provision; (2) whether the transaction would result in a violation of Commission rules; (3) whether the transaction would substantially frustrate or impair the Commission’s implementation or enforcement of the Act or interfere with the objectives of that and other statutes; and (4) whether the transaction promises to yield affirmative public interest benefits.”⁷ As part of its public interest analysis, the Commission reviews whether the

⁶ Section 310(d) provides that “no construction permit, or station license, or any rights thereunder, shall be transferred, assigned, or disposed of in any matter . . . to any person except upon application to the Commission and upon finding by the Commission that the public interest, convenience, and necessity will be served thereby.” 47 U.S.C. § 310(d).

⁷ *SBC Communications Inc. and BellSouth Corp.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 15 FCC Rcd. 25,459, 25,464 ¶ 13 (WTB/IB 2000) (citation omitted); *Ameritech Corp. and SBC Communications Inc.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 14 FCC Rcd. 14,712, 14,737-38 ¶ 48 (1999) (“*Ameritech-SBC Order*”);

transaction will have anti-competitive effects and, if so, whether there are overriding public interest benefits that would support a grant.

The Commission has determined that transfer and assignment applications that demonstrate on their face that a transaction will yield affirmative public interest benefits and will neither violate the Act or Commission rules nor frustrate or undermine policies and enforcement of the Act do not require extensive review and expenditures of considerable resources by the Commission.⁸ The instant applications meet this standard.⁹

The assignments proposed herein will not result in any violation of the Act or any other applicable statutory provision. Moreover, the assignments fully comply with all Commission rules and regulations and require no waivers. Therefore, they do not frustrate or impair the Commission's implementation or enforcement or the objectives of the Act or other statutes.

Further, the transactions will yield public interest benefits. The spectrum being assigned in these transactions will result in each assignee Party increasing its spectrum holdings in the relevant markets. This will enable added capacity and improved data throughput speeds within existing coverage areas. In the markets where each Party is assigning spectrum, T-Mobile US or USCC, as applicable, has determined that it will be

see also EchoStar Communications Corp., (a Nevada Corp.), Gen. Motors Corp., and Hughes Electronics Corp (Delaware Corp.) and EchoStar Communications Corp. (a Delaware Corp.), Hearing Designation Order, 17 FCC Rcd. 20,559, 20,574 ¶ 25 (2002); *WorldCom, Inc. and MCI Communications Corp.,* Memorandum Opinion and Order, 13 FCC Rcd. 18,025, 18,030-32 ¶¶ 8-10 (1998); *Merger of MCI Communications Corp. and British Telecommunications plc,* Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd. 15,351, 15,367-68 ¶¶ 33-34 (1997).

⁸ *Applications of SoftBank Corp., Starburst II, Inc., Sprint Nextel Corp., and Clearwire Corp. for Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations,* Memorandum Opinion and Order, Declaratory Ruling, and Order on Reconsideration, 28 FCC Rcd. 9642, 9682 ¶ 102 (2013) (“[U]nder the Commission’s sliding scale approach, where potential public interest harms appear unlikely . . . we will accept a lesser showing of public interest benefits.”). *See also Tele-Communications, Inc. and AT&T Corp.,* Memorandum Opinion and Order, 14 FCC Rcd. 3160, 3170 ¶ 16 (1999); *Ameritech-SBC Order,* 14 FCC Rcd. at 14,740-41 ¶ 54.

⁹ The Commission has emphasized that a detailed showing of benefits is not required for transactions where there are no anti-competitive effects. The Commission stated in *Southern New England Telecom. Corp. and SBC Communications Inc.,* Memorandum Opinion and Order, 13 FCC Rcd. 21,292, 21,315 ¶ 45 (1998), that, in the absence of anti-competitive effects, a detailed showing of benefits is not necessary in seeking approval of a merger. Similarly, as the Commission stated in its approval of the SBC/Pacific Telesis merger, where it found that the merger would not reduce competition and that SBC possessed the requisite qualifications to control the licenses in question, “[a] demonstration that benefits will arise from the transfer is not . . . a prerequisite to our approval, provided that no foreseeable adverse consequences will result from the transfer.” *Pacific Telesis Group and SBC Communications Inc.,* Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd. 2624, 2626-27 ¶ 2 (1997); *see also Comcast Cellular Holdings, Inc. and SBC Communications, Inc.,* Memorandum Opinion and Order, 14 FCC Rcd. 10,604, 10,608-09 ¶ 10 (WTB 1999).

able to continue to provide the same level of service with the reduced spectrum. Thus, Commission approval of these applications will enhance competition and improve the quality of services in the wireless marketplace.

Finally, the Full Licenses were initially licensed by the Commission more than three years ago, and none currently is subject to any installment financing. The Full Licenses are not subject to any bidding credits or restrictions on ownership based on designated entity status; therefore, Commission approval of the assignment of the Full Licenses would not result in any unjust enrichment concerns.¹⁰

Spectrum Aggregation/Competition Analysis

As discussed below, the proposed spectrum assignments raise no spectrum aggregation, competitive or other public interest concerns. The exchanges will increase the spectrum holdings of each assignee in markets where it is acquiring spectrum by only between 10 and 20 MHz and will result in each assignee remaining far below spectrum screen levels. In no case will the assignments reduce the number of competitors, service offerings or customer choices in any market.

T-Mobile US

Because T-Mobile US, post-consummation, will hold only between 62 and 102 MHz of attributable spectrum in the 18 counties where US Cellular Assigned Licenses are being assigned to it, T-Mobile US's spectrum aggregation will be well below current levels requiring further competitive review (including the one-third low-band spectrum holdings threshold) (*see Exhibit A1*).¹¹ Importantly, the Assigned Licenses would represent T-Mobile's only spectrum holding below 1 GHz in the relevant markets. In addition, USCC will continue to provide commercial wireless service in each market where it is assigning an Assigned License, and each market where T-Mobile License is acquiring an Assigned License is already served by a number of other carriers (*see Exhibit B1*).

USCC

Similarly, because USCC, post-consummation, will hold only between 22 and 87 MHz of attributable spectrum in the 45 counties where T-Mobile License Assigned Licenses are being assigned to it, USCC's spectrum aggregation also will be well below current levels requiring further competitive review (including the one-third low-band spectrum holdings threshold) (*see Exhibit A2*). USCC will not acquire any spectrum below

¹⁰ *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.2111.

¹¹ *See Policies Regarding Mobile Spectrum Holdings, Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions*, Report and Order, 29 FCC Rcd 6933, ¶¶ 70-135 (2014).

1 GHz in any market. In addition, T-Mobile US will continue to provide commercial wireless service in each market where it is assigning an Assigned License, and each market where USCC is acquiring an Assigned License is already served by a number of other carriers (*see* Exhibit B2).

Statement of No Environmental Impact

As required by Section 1.923(e) of the Commission's rules,¹² the Parties state that the assignment of licenses involved in this transaction will not have a significant environmental effect, as defined by Section 1.1307 of the Commission's rules.¹³ An assignment of licenses does not involve any engineering changes and, therefore, cannot have a significant environmental impact.

Foreign Ownership of T-Mobile License

As noted above, T-Mobile License is a wholly-owned subsidiary of T-Mobile USA. T-Mobile USA in turn is a wholly owned direct subsidiary of T-Mobile US, a U.S.-organized entity. DT, a publicly-traded German corporation, holds a 65.30 percent interest in T-Mobile US and, indirectly, in T-Mobile USA through the intermediate companies described above.

On December 30, 2013, the Commission granted, subject to certain conditions, T-Mobile US's Petition for Declaratory Ruling under Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act on behalf of its subsidiaries and affiliates holding common carrier radio licenses that it would not serve the public interest to prohibit more than 25 percent foreign ownership in T-Mobile US.¹⁴ That ruling also specifically permitted: (i) the specific foreign entities with a non-controlling interest in T-Mobile US (*i.e.*, Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau and the Federal Republic of Germany ("FRG")), to increase their equity and/or voting interests, at some future time up to and including a non-controlling indirect 49.99 percent equity and/or voting interest; and (ii) the specific foreign entities with a controlling interest in T-Mobile US (*i.e.*, DT Holding B.V., T-Mobile Holding, T-Mobile Global and DT) to increase their interests, at some future time, up to and including 100 percent of T-Mobile US's equity and/or voting interests.¹⁵ T-Mobile US's petition was filed in connection with an internal corporate reorganization that resulted in the insertion of a new direct parent company, DT Holding B.V., a limited liability company organized in the Netherlands, into T-Mobile US's ownership chain. That declaratory ruling includes

¹² 47 C.F.R. § 1.923(e).

¹³ *Id.* § 1.1307.

¹⁴ *See* File No. ISP-PDR-20130924-00006 (filed Sept. 24, 2013 and supplemented Oct. 24, 2013); *International Authorizations Granted*, Public Notice, Report No. TEL-01650, DA 14-24 (rel. Jan. 9, 2014).

¹⁵ *Id.*

all authority available under the rules, including the standard terms and conditions set forth in Section 1.994 of the rules, and thus extends to T-Mobile License (among other licensee subsidiaries of T-Mobile USA) and the types of wireless service licenses that are the subject of the instant transaction. The foreign ownership in the licensees as approved has not materially changed since December 30, 2013 and will not be affected by the instant transaction.

Compliance with DOJ/FBI/DOD Agreement by T-Mobile License

T-Mobile License also requests that the Commission condition its grant of the assignment of the US Cellular Assigned Licenses to T-Mobile License (but *not* the grant of the assignment of the T-Mobile License Assigned Licenses to the US Cellular Parties) on compliance with the provisions of the Agreement entered into on January 12, 2001, as amended, between DT, VoiceStream Wireless Corporation and VoiceStream Wireless Holding Corporation, on the one hand, and the Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigation on the other (the "Agreement").¹⁶ The Agreement prescribed that the following specific language be included in the conditional grant of interests in FCC licenses in the specific context of the Agreement:

It is further ordered, that the authorizations and the licenses related thereto are subject to compliance with the provisions of the Agreement attached hereto between Deutsche Telekom AG, VoiceStream Wireless Corporation, VoiceStream Wireless Holding Corporation on the one hand, and the Department of Justice (the "DOJ") and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (the "FBI") on the other, dated January 12, 2001, which Agreement is designed to address national security, law enforcement, and public safety issues of the FBI and the DOJ regarding the authority granted herein. Nothing in the Agreement is intended to limit any obligation imposed by Federal law or regulation including, but not limited to, 47 U.S.C. § 222(a) and (c)(1) and the FCC's implementing regulations.¹⁷

¹⁶ See *Applications of VoiceStream Wireless Corp., Powertel, Inc. and Deutsche Telekom, AG*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 16 FCC Rcd. 9779, Appendix B (2001) (Agreement between DT, VoiceStream Wireless Corporation, VoiceStream Wireless Holding Corporation, the Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigation dated Jan. 12, 2001). The Agreement was amended in 2008 to add the Department of Homeland Security as a party and also amended in 2013 in connection with license transfers associated with the T-Mobile/MetroPCS transaction. See *Applications of T-Mobile USA, Inc. and SunCom Wireless Holding, Inc.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 23 FCC Rcd. 2515, Appendix B (2008); *T-Mobile/MetroPCS Order*, 28 FCC Rcd. at Appendix B, Amendment No. 2.

¹⁷ *Applications of VoiceStream Wireless Corp., Powertel, Inc. and Deutsche Telekom, AG*, 16 FCC Rcd. at Appendix B, Exhibit A (Condition to FCC Licenses).. at Exhibit A.

T-Mobile License hereby requests that the Commission impose a similar condition on the grant of the assignment of the US Cellular Assigned Licenses to T-Mobile License.

No US Cellular Party is owned or controlled by any foreign entity within the scope of Section 310 of the Act or is a party to the Agreement, and thus the T-Mobile License Assigned Licenses to be assigned to the US Cellular Parties *should not* be conditioned with the above-referenced language.

Conclusion

The Parties respectfully submit that each assignee is qualified to hold the applicable spectrum licenses referenced above and that Commission approval of the requested assignments will serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.¹⁸ Accordingly, the Parties respectfully request that the Commission grant the instant applications expeditiously and without conditions.

¹⁸ See *Promoting Efficient Use of Spectrum Through Elimination of Barriers to the Development of Secondary Markets*, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd. 20,604 (2003).

ATTACHMENT A

PART 1--T-MOBILE LICENSE FULL LICENSES*

Area	FCC Call Sign	Market Name	Service	Channel Block	MHz	Exp. Date
BEA099	WQVP344	Kansas City, MO-KS	AWS-3	I	1765-1770 2165-2170	04/08/2027
BEA018	WQVP305	Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point, NC-VA	AWS-3	I	1765-1770 2165-2170	04/08/2027
BEA019	WQVP306	Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC	AWS-3	I	1765-1770 2165-2170	04/08/2027
BEA022	WQVP307	Fayetteville, NC	AWS-3	I	1765-1770 2165-2170	04/08/2027
BEA125	WQVP348	Oklahoma City, OK	AWS-3	I	1765-1770 2165-2170	04/08/2027
BEA126	WQVP349	Western Oklahoma, OK	AWS-3	I	1765-1770 2165-2170	04/08/2027
BEA166	WQVP371	Eugene-Springfield, OR-CA	AWS-3	I	1765-1770 2165-2170	04/08/2027
BEA151	WQVP364	Reno, NV-CA	AWS-3	H	1760-1765 2160-2165	04/08/2027

***FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, ONLY THE PORTIONS OF THE T-MOBILE LICENSE LICENSES DESCRIBED IN PARTS 2A, 2B, 2C AND 2D OF THIS EXHIBIT A WILL BE ASSIGNED.**

PART 2A—T-MOBILE LICENSE USCOC NEBRASKA ASSIGNED LICENSES

FCC Call Sign	Geographic Area (Counties)	Spectrum (MHz)
WQVP344	All Counties in CMA505-Missouri 2-Harrison, including MO Counties of Grundy, Harrison, Mercer, Putnam and Sullivan (partitioned from WQPV344)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP344)
WQVP306	All Counties in CMA572-North Carolina 8-Northampton, including NC Counties of Edgecombe, Halifax, Nash, Northampton and Wilson (partitioned from WQVP306)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP306)

PART 2B— T-MOBILE LICENSE USCOC OKLAHOMA ASSIGNED LICENSES

FCC Call Sign	Geographic Area (Counties)	Spectrum (MHz)
WQVP348	3 of 7 Counties in CMA601-Oklahoma 6-Seminole, including OK Counties of Hughes, Okfuskee and Seminole; 3 of 5 Counties in CMA603-Oklahoma 8-Jackson, including OK Counties of Cotton, Jefferson and Stephens; 9 of 10 Counties in CMA604-Oklahoma 9-Garvin, including OK Counties of Atoka, Carter, Coal, Garvin, Johnston, Love, Marshall, Murray and Pontotoc (partitioned from WQVP348)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP348)
WQVP349	1 of 5 Counties in CMA603-Oklahoma 8-Jackson, including Jackson County, OK (partitioned from WQVP349)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP349)

PART 2C— T-MOBILE LICENSE OREGON RSA ASSIGNED LICENSES

FCC Call Sign	Geographic Area (Counties)	Spectrum (MHz)
WQVP371	All Counties in CMA610-Oregon 5-Coos, including OR Counties of Coos, Curry, Douglas and Josephine (partitioned from WQVP371)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP371)
WQVP364	2 of 3 Counties in CMA337-California 2-Modoc, including CA Counties of Lassen and Plumas (partitioned from WQVP364)	1760-1765; 2160-2165 (full spectrum of WQVP364)

PART 2D— T-MOBILE LICENSE BARAT WIRELESS ASSIGNED LICENSES

FCC Call Sign	Geographic Area (Counties)	Spectrum (MHz)
WQVP344	3 of 6 Counties in CMA506-Missouri 3-Schuyler, including MO Counties of Adair, Knox and Schuyler (partitioned from WQVP344)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP344)
WQVP305	2 of 7 Counties in CMA571-North Carolina 7-Rockingham, including NC Counties of Caswell and Rockingham (partitioned from WQVP305)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP305)
WQVP306	5 of 7 Counties in CMA571-North Carolina 7-Rockingham, including NC Counties of Franklin, Granville, Person, Vance and Warren (partitioned from WQVP306)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP306)
WQVP307	3 of 4 Counties in CMA575-North Carolina 11-Hoke, including NC Counties of Bladen, Hoke and Robeson (partitioned from WQVP307)	1765-1770; 2165-2170 (full spectrum of WQVP307)

ATTACHMENT B

PART 1A—USCOC NEBRASKA LICENSE

Area	FCC Call Sign	Market Name	Service	Channel Block	MHz	Exp. Date
BEA123	WQIZ542	Topeka, KS*	700 MHz	A	698-704 728-734	06/13/2019

*For avoidance of doubt, the following counties will not be assigned: Chase, Clay, Coffey, Dickinson, Geary, Lyon, Marshall, Morris, Nemaha, Pottawatomie, Riley, Wabaunsee and Washington, KS.

PART 1B—USCOC OKLAHOMA LICENSE

Area	FCC Call Sign	Market Name	Service	Channel Block	MHz	Exp. Date
MTA040	WQKQ522	Little Rock	PCS	A3	1850-1855 1930-1935	06/23/2025

PART 1C—OREGON RSA LICENSE

Area	FCC Call Sign	Market Name	Service	Channel Block	MHz	Exp. Date
BEA168	WQIZ475	Pendleton, OR-WA**	700 MHz	A	698-704 728-734	06/13/2019

**For avoidance of doubt, the following counties will not be assigned: Baker, Gilliam Grant, Morrow, Umatilla, Union, Wallowa and Wheeler, OR.

PART 1D—BARAT WIRELESS LICENSE

Area	FCC Call Sign	Market Name	Service	Channel Block	MHz	Exp. Date
CMA179	WQGV758	Topeka, KS	AWS-1	A	1710-1720 2110-2120	04/30/2022

PART 2A—USCOC NEBRASKA ASSIGNED LICENSE

FCC Call Sign	Geographic Area (Counties)	Spectrum (MHz)
WQIZ542	All Counties in CMA179-Topeka, KS, including KS Counties of Jefferson, Osage, and Shawnee; 2 of 5 Counties in CMA432-Kansas 5-Brown, including KS Counties of Brown and Jackson (partitioned from WQIZ542)	698-704 728-734 (full spectrum of WQIZ542)

PART 2B—USCOC OKLAHOMA EXCHANGED LICENSE

FCC Call Sign	Geographic Area (Counties)	Spectrum (MHz)
WQKQ522	All Counties in BTA140-Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR, including AR Counties of Benton, Madison and Washington; all Counties in BTA 153-Ft. Smith, AR, including AR Counties of Crawford, Franklin, Logan, Polk, Scott and Sebastian and OK Counties of Le Flore and Sequoyah (full area of WQKQ522)	1850-1855; 1930-1935 (full spectrum of WQKQ522)

PART 2C—OREGON RSA ASSIGNED LICENSE

FCC Call Sign	Geographic Area (Counties)	Spectrum (MHz)
WQIZ475	2 of 5 Counties in CMA700-Washington 8-Whitman, including WA Counties of Columbia and Walla Walla (partitioned from WQIZ475)	698-704; 728-734 (full spectrum of WQIZ475)

PART 2D—BARAT WIRELESS ASSIGNED LICENSE

FCC Call Sign	Geographic Area (Counties)	Spectrum (MHz)
WQGV758	All Counties in CMA179-Topeka, KS, including KS Counties of Jefferson, Osage and Shawnee (full area of WQGV758)	1710-1720; 2110-2120 (full spectrum of WQGV758)